

Judicial Impact Fiscal Note

Bill Number: 1614 HB	Title: Impaired driving	Agency: 055-Administrative Office of the Courts
-----------------------------	--------------------------------	--

Part I: Estimates

☒ No Fiscal Impact

The revenue and expenditure estimates on this page represent the most likely fiscal impact. Responsibility for expenditures may be subject to the provisions of RCW 43.135.060.

Check applicable boxes and follow corresponding instructions:

- ☐ If fiscal impact is greater than \$50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium or in subsequent biennia, complete entire fiscal note form Parts I-V.
- ☐ If fiscal impact is less than \$50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium or in subsequent biennia, complete this page only (Part I).
- ☐ Capital budget impact, complete Part IV.

Legislative Contact Omeara Harrington	Phone: 360-786-7136	Date: 02/01/2017
Agency Preparation: Renee Lewis	Phone: 360-704-4142	Date: 02/03/2017
Agency Approval: Ramsey Radwan	Phone: 360-357-2406	Date: 02/03/2017
OFM Review:	Phone:	Date:

Request # 1614 HB-1

Part II: Narrative Explanation

II. A - Brief Description Of What The Measure Does That Has Fiscal Impact on the Courts

RCW 9.96.060 would be amended to preclude vacation of a DUI offense if less than ten years has elapsed since the date of arrest for a prior offense.

RCW 10.31.100(17) would be amended to extend circumstances under which a person charged with a DUI is held in custody until there is a judicial order to include when the person is charged with or is awaiting arraignment for an offense that would qualify as a prior offense as defined in RCW 46.61.5055 if it were a conviction.

RCW 46.20.385 would be amended to provide that the person pays the ignition interlock fee directly to DOL rather than going thru IID company.

RCW 46.20.720 would be amended to change the incident-free time period to have IID restriction removed from "4 months" to 180 days and provides that the person pays the fee directly to DOL.

RCW 46.61.504 would be amended to clarify when a car is safely off a roadway.

RCW 46.61.506 would be amended to expand who may take a blood draw for suspicion of DUI.

RCW 46.61.517 would be amended to provide that refusal to submit to a blood test is admissible into evidence at a subsequent criminal trial when a search warrant, or an exception to the search warrant, authorized the seizure.

RCW 46.64.025 would be amended to include a person provided notice of a complaint or citation could be cited for failure to appear.

Overall there would be minimal impact to the courts and AOC.

II. B - Cash Receipts Impact

II. C - Expenditures

Part III: Expenditure Detail

Part IV: Capital Budget Impact